

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

c 16

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,378 11/19/2003		Aquilur Rahman	224491	6704
23460	7590 07/07/2005	EXAMINER		
	OIT & MAYER, LTD	RUSSEL, JEFFREY E		
	ENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE STETSON AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780	1654		

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	- "			
Office Action Summary		10/717,37	'8	RAHMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeffrey E.	Russel	1654				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by oly received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no everon.  The areply within the state period will apply and wire state to the apply and wire state, cause the apply and wire state.	int, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 June 2005.						
2a)□ T	_ · · ·							
•								
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4 5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-21 and 23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-21 and 23 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicatio	n Papers							
10) T	he specification is objected to by the Example he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the content of the content o	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) b correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	` '			
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s			<b>.</b>					
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1654

1. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "conventional irinotecan", which occurs at claim 1, line 6, and claim 11, line 5, is indefinite. It is not clear what constitutes "conventional" irinotecan, as opposed to unconventional irinotecan. The term is not defined in the specification or in the prior art. The specification describes prior art uses of irinotecan hydrochloride at page 1, lines 25-34, but does not describe what components are present in the composition and does not describe how the compositions are administered. Multiple irinotecan compositions are taught in the prior art literature. See, e.g., the Sadzuka et al article (Current Drug Metabolism, Vol. 1, pages 31-48), in which irinotecan hydrochloride is entrapped in liposomes comprised of one of two lipids and optionally modified by PEG. The Sadzuka et al article (Cancer Letters, Vol. 127, pages 99-106) teaches the same liposomal compositions as above, and in addition teaches an irinotecan solution. The Okuno et al article (Cancer Research, Vol. 60, pages 2988-2995) teaches irinotecan in the form of a conjugate with carboxymethyl dextran via a triglycine spacer. Bouscarel et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,407,117 at column 15, line 64 - column 16, line 67 and claim 4), and Ratain et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,786,344 at column 58, line 30 - column 60, line 67) teach numerous different irinotecan compositions and methods of administration. It is not possible to determine whether or not any of these prior art compositions are the "conventional irinotecan" contemplated by Applicants. In the absence of an understanding of what constitutes "conventional irinotecan", it will not be possible to determine whether a particular liposomal entrapped irinotecan composition meets the functional requirement set forth in the claims, i.e. whether or not it demonstrates an irinotecan plasma concentration 200-fold higher than

Application/Control Number: 10/717,378 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

conventional irinotecan. One of ordinary skill in the art cannot ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-21, and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: At claim 1, line 5, and claim 11, line 4, "a" should be changed to "an". Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The limitations in claims 1 and 11 that the liposomal entrapped irinotecan demonstrates a plasma concentration 200-fold higher than conventional irinotecan is not recited in the specification. The subject matter recited in claims 3 and 5 is also not recited anywhere in the specification.
- 4. The rejections set forth in the previous Office action are withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims and for the reasons set forth in Applicants' response.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (571) 272-0969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Bruce Campell can be reached at (571) 272-0974. The fax number for formal communications to be entered into the record is (571) 273-8300; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (571) 273-0969 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1600 receptionist is (571) 272-1600.

> Jeffrey E. Russel **Primary Patent Examiner** Art Unit 1654

**JRussel** July 6, 2005